	Case 2:24-cv-02989-TLN-EFB Docu	ument 19	Filed 03/27/25	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	ANTHONY LEE ALLEN, JR.,	No	o. 2:24-cv-02989-E	EFB (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,				
13	v.	OI RE	ORDER AND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		
14	DANEILE CUEVO, et al.,				
15	Defendants.				
16					
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §				
18	1983. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28 U.S.C.				
19	§ 636(b)(1).				
20	On January 23, 2025, the court found that plaintiff was not qualified to proceed in forma				
21 22	pauperis in this action due to having "three strikes" under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). ECF No. 18. The				
23	court ordered plaintiff to pay the filing fee within 21 days. Plaintiff has not paid the fee or otherwise responded to the order.				
24	Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that the Clerk of Court randomly assign a district				
25	judge to this action. It is further RECOMMENDED that the case be dismissed without prejudice				
26	for failure to pay the filing fee.				
27	////				
28	////				
		1			

Case 2:24-cv-02989-TLN-EFB Document 19 Filed 03/27/25 Page 2 of 2

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge				
assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days				
after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written				
objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned				
"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the				
objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The				
parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to				
appeal the District Court's order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez				
v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).				

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated: March 26, 2025